## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 188

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Andrade v. Lego Systems, Inc	652
on basis of sexual orientation in violation of statute (§ 46a-60 [a] [1]); summary judgment; whether trial court properly determined that there was insufficient evidence from which reasonable jury could conclude that circumstances surrounding termination of plaintiff's employment could give rise to inference of	
discrimination; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.	005
Bank of New York Mellon $v$ . Ruttkamp	365
Foreclosure; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction due to plaintiff's lack of standing; claim that plaintiff brought this action under its corporate brand name and, thus, did not have legal capacity to sue; whether defendant effectively abandoned claim concerning plaintiff's alleged lack of standing; whether plaintiff is legal entity with legal capacity to sue; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment in favor of plaintiff on	
counterclaim; failure to brief claim adequately.	207
Betts v. Commissioner of Correction	397
Habeas corpus; whether criminal trial counsel provided ineffective assistance; claim that petitioner's criminal trial counsel did not give adequate advice concerning state's pretrial plea offer; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner failed to establish that he was not advised of maximum penalties for pending charges or of maximum exposure to punishment if found guilty on all charges; whether habeas court correctly concluded that trial counsel advised petitioner as to maximum possible penalties for all felony charges he faced; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to advise petitioner adequately as to strength of state's case; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner was not prejudiced by trial counsel's allegedly inadequate advice in correction with other pretrial plant offer.	
in connection with state's pretrial plea offer. Cadco, Ltd. v. Doctor's Associates, Inc.	122
Summary judgment; alleged violations of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act	122
(CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether trial court properly concluded that there	
was no genuine issue of material fact that defendants' conduct did not amount	
to unfair act or practice in violation of CUTPA; whether plaintiff's claims met	
any prong of cigarette rule for determining whether practice violates CUTPA;	
whether trial court properly concluded that there was no genuine issue of material	
fact as to whether defendants' conduct constituted deceptive act or practice under	
CUTPA; whether there was evidence of any misrepresentation, omission, or prac-	
tice by defendants likely to mislead plaintiff; whether defendants were under	
duty to inform plaintiff regarding bid solicitation; whether trial court erred in concluding that there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether defend-	
ants were unjustly enriched to plaintiff's detriment; whether there was evidence	
that defendants did not compensate plaintiff fully for benefit received.	
Canton v. Cadle Properties of Connecticut, Inc	36
$Petition for appointment of receiver of rents; claim that plain reading of statute (\S~12-12-12) and the plain reading of statute (\S~12-12) and the plain$	
163a) does not limit required, enumerated utility payments to those obligated	
to be paid by owner of property and, thus, that trial court should not have approved	
updated interim accounting because receiver did not reimburse intervening defendant tenant for its utility expenditures; whether trial court properly deter-	
mined that, pursuant to § 12-163a, receiver is mandated to pay only utility bills	
that are obligation of owner, not those incurred by tenants of property.	
Cruz v. Schoenhorn	208
Legal malpractice; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted	
defendants' motions for summary judgment; whether plaintiff's action was	
brought within applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-577); claim that trial court	
erred in not considering plaintiff's affidavit in adjudicating motion for summary	

judgment; claim that trial court misconstrued argument of plaintiff as to date that attorney-client relationship with defendants ended.	
Deroy v. Reck .  Legal malpractice; will execution; testamentary capacity; breach of fiduciary duty; whether trial court properly granted motion for summary judgment on ground that plaintiff failed to provide expert testimony concerning applicable standard of care and defendants' alleged breach thereof; claim that requirement of expert testimony was obviated because defendants' conduct demonstrated such obvious and gross want of care and skill that neglect was clear even to layperson.	292
Doe v. Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services	275
Garcia v. Cohen	380
In re Bianca K	259
In re Malachi E	426
In re Probate Appeal of Kusmit	196
Juan G. v. Commissioner of Correction	241
Kaminsky v. Commissioner of Emergency Services & Public Protection	109

Lopes v. Ferrari	387
Child custody; motion for psychological evaluation; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for psychological evaluation of defendant; whether plaintiff set forth any facts to substantiate reasons for psychological evaluation, dain that trial courts and determination did not symbol with	
evaluation; claim that trial court's custody determination did not comply with applicable statutes (§§ 46b-56 and 46b-56a [b]); whether trial court articulated basis of decision; whether it was clear from trial court's decision that it considered § 46b-56 and child's best interests; whether plaintiff met burden to request trial court to further articulate its reasoning or best interests determination; claim that, by giving defendant final decision-making authority, trial court essentially gave defendant sole custody.	
MacCalla v. American Medical Response of Connecticut, Inc.  Promissory estoppel; motion for nonsuit; claim that trial court erred in dismissing plaintiffs' case solely on basis of conduct of plaintiffs' counsel at depositions; claim that trial court erred in dismissing claim of one plaintiff individually who had complied with discovery obligations and was not named in motion for nonsuit; whether actions of plaintiffs' counsel at plaintiffs' depositions were unprofessional and unacceptable; whether defendant sought sanctions solely based on conduct of plaintiffs' counsel.	228
Manzo-III v. Schoonmaker  Legal malpractice; fraudulent misrepresentation; motion to reargue; whether trial court properly concluded that action was barred by applicable statute of limitations (§ 52-577); whether statute of limitations was tolled pursuant to doctrine of continuous representation; claim that trial court misapplied rule in DeLeo v. Nusbaum (263 Conn. 588) regarding continuous representation doctrine and tolling of statute of limitations; whether trial court properly concluded that attorney-client relationship between plaintiff and defendant law firm ended on certain date; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to reargue.	343
Maurice v. Chester Housing Associates Ltd. Partnership	21
McClain v. Commissioner of Correction	70
Miller v. Board of Education.  Quantum meruit; unjust enrichment; motion to dismiss; accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592 [a]); whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss action as untimely; whether plaintiff brought action for same cause within one year following determination of prior original action, as required under § 52-592.	373
Miller v. Bridgeport (Memorandum Decision)	901
Mitchell v. State	245
Petition for new trial; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit murder; kidnapping in first degree; conspiracy to commit kidnapping in first degree; sexual assault in first degree; conspiracy to commit sexual assault in first degree; assault in first degree; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; criminal possession of firearm; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying request for leave to file late petition for certification to appeal from denial of petition for new trial; whether state or court are required to provide petitioner with written notice of appeal procedures and statutory certification requirement; claim that trial court improperly denied request for leave to file late petition for certification on basis of merits of appeal; whether trial court afforded due regard to	
reasons for delay in filing request	

Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; motion for modification; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting motion for modification and increasing defendant's alimony payments; whether trial court, in calculating amount of alimony, erroneously found increase in defendant's weekly net income; whether trial court correctly determined that financial contributions to defendant from his current wife constituted gifts rather than loans; claim that modified alimony award was excessive; whether trial court's failure to attribute certain rental income to plaintiff was clearly erroneous; reviewability of claim that it was unfair for trial court to award plaintiff attorney's fees and travel expenses as sanction; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to commence paying interest on plaintiff's share of certain bond proceeds if bond was not released on or before certain date; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to reimburse plaintiff for certain bank wire transfer charges; whether remedial order was proper even though defendant's violation of court's alimony order was not wilful because it compensated plaintiff for minor alimony deficiency.  Parnoff v. Aquarian Water Co. of Connecticut (AC 40383).  Trespass; negligent infliction of emotional distress; intentional infliction of emotional distress; invasion of privacy; violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); summary judgment; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly granted motion for summary judgment as to respass claims because defendants use of certain easement on plaintiff's property was unreasonable; whether trespass claims were moot; claim that trial court improperty granted attain of intrusion of emotional distress claims; whether trial court improperty granted motion for summary judgment as to negligent infliction of emotional distress claims were barred by applicable two year statute of limitations; (sair that trial court improperty granted tortiou	153
of CUTPA.  Parnoff v. Aquarian Water Co. of Connecticut (AC 40109).  False arrest; violation of federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1983); reviewability of claims challenging trial court's granting of motion for summary judgment on basis of distinctly different theory from theory plaintiff argued before trial court and on which trial court actually rendered summary judgment.	145
Patty v. Planning & Zoning Commission	115
Quinones v. R. W. Thompson Co	93

workers' compensation benefits, defendant failed to comply with applicable stat- ute ([Rev. to 2009] § 31-294c) and was, therefore, precluded from contesting compensability or extent of plaintiff's claimed injury.	
Rivera v. Patient Care of Connecticut	203
Ross v. Commissioner of Correction	251
Smalls v. Commissioner of Correction	525
Stamford v. Rahman	1
Stanley v. Scott (Memorandum Decision)  State v. Dawson	901 532

State v. Dunbar	635
State v. Gonzalez	304
Home invasion; sexual assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; whether there was sufficient evidence to support conviction of home invasion; whether trial court properly denied motion for judgment of acquittal on count of home invasion; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial because he was deprived of constitutional rights to fair trial and to be heard by counsel at close of evidence; claim that format of prosecutor's closing argument to jury was improper; reviewability of claim that prosecutor improperly raised new issues and mischaracterized DNA and fingerprint evidence during rebuttal argument to jury; claim that defendant was prejudiced by prosecutor's comment to jury that fingerprints on window could have been there for 100 years; unpreserved claim that defendant was entitled to new trial because his counsel was not given opportunity to counter prosecutor's statement in her rebuttal argument to jury that defendant was only person in Connecticut who could have been contributor to certain mixture of DNA; claim that defendant was entitled to new trial on charge of home invasion because prosecutor misled jury during closing argument about elements of that crime.	
State v. Gray-Brown.	446
Felony murder; robbery in first degree; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress evidence police seized during search of defendant's bedroom; whether trial court's findings that defendant's mother had actual authority to consent to search and whether her consent was voluntary were clearly erroneous; claim that defendant established sufficiently exclusive control of bedroom so as to render ineffective mother's consent to search; whether trial court reasonably concluded that evidence police seized from defendant's bedroom was relevant and that its probative value outweighed any undue prejudice; whether evidence was sufficient to prove that firearm used in shooting had barrel less than twelve inches in length, which was required to sustain conviction of carrying pistol without permit in violation of statute (§ 29-35 [a]); whether trial court properly determined that defendant was not entitled to jury instruction on third-party culpability; whether defendant established direct connection between third party and offense with which defendant was charged; whether trial court abused its discretion when it declined to question juror, who had been dismissed after jury returned verdict, about claim that juror became aware that defendant was incarcerated when juror allegedly saw defendant being transported to court by correctional officer.	
State v. Hutton	481
State $v$ . Ruiz	413
Violation of probation; motion to suppress; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress one-on-one showup identification; whether trial court properly found that identification procedure was not unnecessarily suggestive; claim that trial court improperly found that defendant violated condition of his probation; whether there was sufficient evidence to support trial court's finding that	

defendant committed act of threatening in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-62 [a] [1]); claim that trial court abused its discretion in revoking defend-	
ant's probation. State v. Weathers	600
Murder; criminal possession of pistol or revolver; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court's rejection of affirmative defense of mental disease or defect was reasonably supported by evidence; claim that trial court erred as matter of	000
law in deciding issue without aid of expert testimony; claim that trial court improperly rejected testimony of defendant's experts; whether trial court was entitled to adopt nonpsychiatric explanation for defendant's conduct; whether trial court reasonably could have found that evidence pertaining to expert's understanding of statutory insanity test undermined value of expert's opinions; whether trial court reasonably could have found that expert failed to account adequately for defendant's statements to police; whether trial court's express	
subordinate factual findings were clearly erroneous.	183
Strano v. Azzinaro.  Intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court properly granted motion to strike revised complaint alleging claims of intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether defendants' alleged conduct toward plaintiffs was extreme and outrageous.	163
Wolyniec v. Wolyniec .  Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment orders; claim that trial court abused its discretion in permitting defendant to remain in residence owned by plaintiff until defendant received payment in full of support arrearage owed by plaintiff; whether stipulation incorporated into parties' dissolution judgment unambiguously linked monetary and residential support; whether trial court's remedial order to effectuate judgment of dissolution was supported by competent evidence; claim that trial court erred in failing to find that defendant should be barred by laches from recovering support arrearage; whether evidence was admitted from which trial court could have found that plaintiff was prejudiced by defendant's delay in filing motion for contempt.	53